

BambuLab Standard

White Marble PLA

Marble White

Material Engineering Report
MaterialID MAT0177

3DPiceland Engineering Platform
3DPiceland Labs
Generated with 3DPiceland Engineering Platform v60.0.6
WORKFLOW-RELIABILITY - Bug Fixes and Workflow
Reliability
Generated 2026-08-25 19:02:26

Public-data contract: This static report contains only allowlisted material identity, public links, canonical MSRP and existing Verified Material Summary / governed score outputs. It excludes raw specimen rows and non-public operational data.

TEST COVERAGE
Complete

ENGINEERING AXES
6/6

PUBLIC MSRP
\$47.92 USD/kg

Material identity

MaterialID	MAT0177
Material	BambuLab Standard White Marble PLA Marble White
Manufacturer	BambuLab
Product line	Standard
Base material	PLA
Category	Standard
Variant / finish	Marble
Reinforcement	—
Color	White

Governed engineering profile


Overall	51/100
---------	--------

Tensile	27/100
Impact	44/100
Stiffness	71/100
Consistency	78/100
Layer adhesion	36/100
Thermal	26/100
Best available axis	consistency

Engineering score profile



Engineering radar



Fixture thermal result

52 °C · score 26/100 · method 3dp-thermal-deflection-fixture-v1

Nearby BlueDOT probe-indicated fixture temperature from a non-standard comparative 3DPIceland method; not ASTM D648, ISO 75, specimen temperature, certified HDT or a manufacturer limit.

Material and manufacturer context

Metric	Selected material	Material average	Manufacturer average
Overall	51/100	50/100	47/100
Tensile	27/100	29/100	26/100
Impact	44/100	24/100	31/100
Stiffness	71/100	87/100	77/100
Consistency	78/100	79/100	77/100
Layer adhesion	36/100	30/100	24/100
Thermal	26/100	—	—

Verified measurement results

Existing Verified Material Summary outputs. The public renderer does not read raw specimen rows or recalculate these values.

Measurement	Average	Std. deviation	CV	Samples	Confidence
Tensile upright	11.45 MPa	3.945 MPa	34.5%	9	9/10
Tensile flat	32.254 MPa	4.142 MPa	12.8%	10	10/10
Impact upright	118.686 kJ/m ²	28.407 kJ/m ²	23.9%	10	10/10
Impact flat	756.732 kJ/m ²	127.416 kJ/m ²	16.8%	10	10/10
Stiffness modulus	2120.83 MPa	—	—	—	—
Stiffness deflection	2.559 mm	—	—	—	—

Measurement provenance

Canonical per-module measured dates from SQLite, shown in unambiguous ISO format. Missing dates are not inferred.

Module	Measured date
Tensile	Not recorded
Impact	Not recorded
Stiffness	Not recorded
Thermal	Not recorded

Engineering interpretation

Summary: BambuLab Standard White Marble PLA Marble White has a specialized or limited engineering profile. It is above its material-family average by 0,9 points and above its manufacturer average by 4 points. Its strongest measured axis is Consistency, while the weakest axis is Tensile.

Data-driven review: BambuLab Standard White Marble PLA Marble White is best understood as a repeatable material for cosmetic, concept and low-load prototype work. Compared with the current verified dataset, it ranks better than 68% overall, is roughly aligned with the material-family average, and is above the manufacturer average by 4 points. Its strongest engineering signal is Consistency, while Tensile is the main limitation to consider before using it for demanding applications.

BEST FEATURE

Consistency

MAIN LIMITATION

Tensile

DATASET POSITION

Better than 68%
75 / 234

Strengths

- Layer adhesion: 36/100. Z-axis bonding is better than the material-family context.
- Consistency: 78/100. Repeatability is one of the stronger signals in this material profile.
- Impact: 44/100. Impact behavior is competitive against the material-family context.
- Stiffness: 71/100. Stiffness is a relative advantage in this comparison group.

Limitations

- Tensile: 27/100. Avoid using this as the primary choice for tensile-loaded parts.
- Stiffness: 71/100. Expect less shape stability than the stronger materials in the same family.
- Layer adhesion: 36/100. Z-axis performance should be treated with caution.

Engineering trade-offs

- Good repeatability does not compensate for low tensile capability in load-bearing designs.
- Stiffness is useful for shape stability, but impact tolerance is a separate limitation.

Recommended applications

- Rigid brackets, fixtures and shape-stable prototypes
- Repeatable print jobs and production-style batches
- Cosmetic parts, concept models or low-load prototypes
- Avoid high tensile load applications

Metric rankings and percentiles

Metric	Score	Rank	Percentile
Overall	51/100	75 / 234	Better than 68%
Tensile	27/100	123 / 226	Better than 46%
Impact	44/100	41 / 226	Better than 82%
Stiffness	71/100	142 / 223	Better than 37%
Consistency	78/100	120 / 231	Better than 48%
Layer adhesion	36/100	61 / 226	Better than 73%
Thermal	26/100	155 / 209	Better than 26%

Decision guidance

- Use this material for moderate requirements after checking the weakest axis.
- Review the listed alternatives if the application needs stronger overall performance.
- Do not choose it as a tensile-strength benchmark material.

Better alternatives

MaterialID	Material	Manufacturer	Overall	Tensile	Impact
MAT0069	ProtoPasta HTPLA Blood of My Enemies PLA Red	ProtoPasta	100/100	—	—
MAT0079	Polymaker PolyLight PLA Pro Black	Polymaker	65/100	35/100	52/100
MAT0109	Polymaker PolyMax PLA Black	Polymaker	64/100	32/100	62/100

Peer context

Highest-scoring same-base-material peers from the current governed dataset. This context is descriptive and is not recalculated by the public renderer.

MaterialID	Material	Manufacturer	Overall	Tensile	Impact
MAT0069	ProtoPasta HTPLA Blood of My Enemies PLA Red	ProtoPasta	100/100	—	—

MaterialID	Material	Manufacturer	Overall	Tensile	Impact
MAT0079	Polymaker PolyLight PLA Pro Black	Polymaker	65/100	35/100	52/100
MAT0109	Polymaker PolyMax PLA Black	Polymaker	64/100	32/100	62/100
MAT0080	Polymaker PolySonic PLA Pro Blue	Polymaker	64/100	30/100	58/100
MAT0076	BambuLab Tough+ PLA Tough+ Black	BambuLab	63/100	26/100	59/100
MAT0108	Polymaker PolyLight PLA Black	Polymaker	61/100	33/100	14/100
MAT0074	Winkle Matte PLA Matte Red	Winkle	60/100	34/100	—
MAT0122	Add:North Enhanced PLA Clear	Add:North	59/100	40/100	10/100

Public links

[Manufacturer website](#) [Download PDF](#)

Methodology and limitations

Results are comparative 3DPlceland measurements from home-built test equipment. Thermal is a nearby BlueDOT probe-indicated fixture temperature, not ASTM D648, ISO 75 or specimen temperature. Missing evidence remains n/a. Scores and test results are not recalculated by this publishing layer and do not replace certified manufacturer datasheets or accredited laboratory testing.

- [Testing methodology](#).
- [Engineering methodology whitepaper](#)